HCLN WELCOME

Having spent the last six months trying to climb the Hollywood ladder and seeing self-promotion exhibited on a regular basis, I recently encountered a refreshing, albeit simultaneously frustrating Haverford College story. One lawyer left her law firm to pursue another position elsewhere, something more true to her calling. When a new position opened at her new shop, she contacted her former classmate and fellow attorney, shepherding him into the same place of employ. Obviously, I was delighted to hear this example of Haverfordians helping each other out in their careers and wanted to tell anyone and everyone. However, that good ole Haverford virtue of modesty precludes me from stating their names or positions. I’ll only say that we as an organization are enormously proud of such great alumni making their impact on the legal community and admire your humility – even if it pains this author.

And it is this impact, which so many likeminded people make in their communities that we try to highlight. In this issue, we feature two distinct voices: Eric Rassbach ’95 and Lila Shapiro-Cyr ’95. One fights on behalf of religious institutions in their struggles defining the boundaries between church and state, while the other occupies a powerful position as the partner in one of the mid-Atlantic’s top law firms.

The HCLN has had its second year of successful programming, with events in Boston, Baltimore, Chicago, New York City, Philadelphia, Washington, D.C., and Wilmington. Moreover, we are closing in on the completion of our HCLN directory, as well as the establishment of a Facebook page, in addition to our website and LinkedIn. This organization has been a labor of love for both Rahul and myself, and our meetings and interactions with all of you over the past two years has been nothing but pure joy.

Unexpectedly, the College will bestow on us the Young Alumni Award for Excellence in Leadership at this year’s Alumni Weekend (May 25-27) for our work with this organization. While we certainly appreciate the acknowledgement, this group has succeeded because of your desire to engage with the organization and help other Haverfordians in their careers. If not for the multitude of Haverford College attorneys who helped shape the varied paths of our careers, we simply would not be where we are today. For that, this award is for all of your dedication and commitment. Thank you all.
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FOR THE RECORD

ERIC RASSBACH ’95

By Stephen Spaulding ’05

Eric Rassbach (Haverford ’95, Harvard Law ’99) is Deputy General Counsel at the Becket Fund for Religious Liberty, a nonprofit public interest legal and educational institute in Washington, D.C. He has litigated many First Amendment religious freedom cases. Previously, Mr. Rassbach was an associate at Baker Botts LLP in Houston, Texas.

How did you decide to become a lawyer?
I decided to become a lawyer for a very dumb reason, which is that I took the LSAT my last year at Haverford, without even really knowing anything about it, and I did pretty well on it. So I thought, “Gosh, maybe I should be a lawyer.” So that was the initial
impetus. I just didn’t really know what I wanted to do after I got out of Haverford and so I stumbled into law school.

**Did Haverford inform your career?**
Haverford did a lot, actually. I got a great academic education at Haverford. I got to do a lot of writing, I got to learn about a lot of different ideas, and going deep on an idea is something I got a lot of opportunities to do at Haverford. I think that’s been helpful to me in my legal career.

**What did you major in at Haverford?**
Comparative literature: English and German literature.

**Did you go straight to Harvard Law?**
No, I didn’t. I spent a year doing two things—teaching English in Taiwan and then temping at a bank in Denver, Colorado. And then I traveled after I got into law school. I figured I might never get a chance to travel too much again. So I backpacked around the world for about two and half months.

**Haverford vs. Harvard: Can you contrast the two environments?**
Well, Harvard has one less syllable. I thought that Harvard would be a more intellectual experience than it was. I was used to the intellectual experience at Haverford, and Harvard did not have that quite as much as I anticipated (perhaps from watching popular fictions of Harvard). Harvard was, on the other hand, a lot more connected with the rest of the world. Haverford in some ways is a very inwardly focused place, and Harvard is not like that at all.

**Did you clerk right after law school?**
Yes. I clerked for Lee Rosenthal—she’s a federal district court judge in Houston, the Southern District of Texas. That was a great experience. Judge Rosenthal is an extremely well-respected judge in the federal judiciary. She’s just an absolutely wonderful mentor. She finds her work so fascinating that she’ll volunteer to come in on the weekends, not because she’s worried about getting things done, but because she finds the work so fascinating.

**And you spent time at Baker Botts LLP?**
Yes. I was at Baker Botts for three years in what they call global projects, which is basically developer-side financed projects, usually overseas.

Then you came straight to the Becket Fund?
I knew fairly early on that I was not going to stay at a large firm for the rest of my career. I didn’t know what else I wanted to do, so I started giving it some thought. I settled on religious liberty work. I applied for jobs, but I was basically an energy infrastructure transactional lawyer in Houston, so most people just didn’t respond, including the Becket Fund. I realized I needed to demonstrate my interests in a more definitive way. So I quit my job, and after I did some hiking in the Pyrenees, I moved to D.C. and started volunteering for different organizations that I thought were good organizations. Eventually, it turned into a contract position at the Becket Fund, and then a salaried position there.

**What is a typical day there? What are you responsible for?**
I’m Deputy General Counsel. There isn’t really a typical day. Almost every day involves phone calls with clients, phone calls with co-counsel, phone calls within the Becket Fund. Then, depending on what we have going litigation-wise, it may involve briefing, research and writing. Typically appellate briefing. We have a strong appellate focus.

**Does the Becket Fund—in terms of the cases with clients—work on strategic litigations where you take one in a hundred cases? How do you decide on cases?**
We’re a strategic, impact litigation group. We have to turn down lots of cases. Quite meritorious cases. We’re focused on cases that are going to end up affecting a lot of different groups or a lot of different people.

**Were you involved in the ministerial exception case Hosanna-Tabor Church v. EEOC from the start? It went all the way to the Supreme Court.**
The Becket Fund was not involved with the case until it was ready to be heard for a petition en banc at the Sixth Circuit. We were not involved at all at the trial level.
What was it like when the decision came down in your favor, 9-0? And what’s the process like to argue successfully at the Supreme Court?

We knew one of our key tasks in taking on this representation was going to be putting together the best possible team, both so that we would do a good job, also so that the court knew that we did a good job. We have a long-term relationship with Doug Laycock, who is a professor at the University of Virginia and a leading scholar in religious liberty litigation. I asked Doug if he wanted to do this case. And Doug has gotten us to do cases in the past like a goat sacrifice case. So there are ongoing relationships that are important. We convinced Doug that this was a good case to go forward with. We did the cert petition, and it was taken on. So that was really the first win, so to speak. And then we briefed it—we did our opening brief. The major turn in the appeal came when the government filed its brief, which took the position that there should be no ministerial exception and that twelve Courts of Appeal were all wrong to have accepted it. And that was, frankly, an amazing brief and actually that’s what the court ended up calling it. They called it “remarkable.” That was a huge assist to our case, because [the government] took such an extreme position that it really sharpened what the conflict was about. And so we responded to that. We decided to ask for extra words because of that unexpected position, and Justice Kagan gave us the extra 2,000 extra words. The other aspect that was a big part of my role was the amicus effort. We had 21 amicus briefs on our side of the case, which is a pretty high number, even for the Supreme Court. The case got the attention of pretty much every religious organization—every religion—in the country.

It looks like you’re going to be one of the first firms out of the gate challenging the federal government’s contraception insurance coverage regulations. What’s it like to be at the center of a powerful public debate with what some consider to be novel legal arguments? What’s it like operating in this turbulent environment?

It’s interesting. You notice how much the national media is paying attention to something because it suddenly explodes. But then it moves on. There’s a certain attention span—I’m sure someone’s done a study on that. People pay attention for a while. But a lot of our cases just aren’t of great interest to the broader public in terms of courtroom drama. Even the cases that get some press—like the goat sacrifice case I mentioned earlier—a lot of people paid attention to that in that jurisdiction, in Euless, Texas. And we had an AP reporter show up to the argument. But for the most part, it’s just not as interesting as whatever [else is] going on. But, once you have a case like this one, you really do get this huge amount of attention put on it. So in a way it’s good, because the religious liberty issue is something people are all thinking about and it’s part of the public discourse. But in terms of how you handle it, it’s not really that hard. We know what our position is. If you don’t know your position, and you’re trying to take it out in public, I’m sure it’s quite difficult. But this case, from a legal point of view, is not super complicated. It’s really a straightforward RFRA [Religious Freedom Restoration Act] violation. We’ve tried those cases before.

Could you tell me more about the goat sacrifice case?

The goat sacrifice case was a Santeria case. If you remember your Con Law class, the case Church of Lukumi Babalu Aye v. City of Hialeah was a free exercise case decided in 1993. It was about Santeria sacrifice. And the Supreme Court ruled unanimously in that case that the city could not ban the sacrifice using city ordinances. Nobody had then tried to ban Santeria sacrifice. But the city of Euless, Texas, which is the suburb of Dallas, decided to.

Basically, they had these ceremonies that involved animal killings in a humane way. But our client had been doing it for about 14 years in his home, prior to the city saying anything about it. And the city said, “You can’t do that, it’s un-hygienic.” They came up with a lot of different reasons. But the problem is that in Euless, Texas, all kinds of animal killing are allowed for other reasons. And the un-hygienic part of it especially didn’t have a lot of credibility, because (and this is something that the judges focused on at the oral argument) a lot of people hunt deer in Texas. And they’re allowed to bring the deer home in their pick-up [trucks] and field dress them in their driveways if they want to. Which basically means doing the butchering part of it. And Euless has no problem with that. And there are a bunch of other examples in which Euless allowed some form of animal killing—often not humane—but not our client. So our client was being singled out, and that's why we got involved. And the district court judge had held a three-hour bench trial (which is not very long for a case of this nature) and had ruled against our client. We managed to get that reversed on appeal at the fifth circuit under a law called the Texas RFRA, which is basically a direct analog to the federal RFRA.
And you were successful at the Fifth Circuit?
Yes.

Given that there are plenty of lawyers practicing a type of law they didn’t anticipate practicing, or lawyers looking to move to something they’re passionate about, do you have any advice for those lawyers looking to transition—just as you did from a corporate practice to something you’re passionate about?
First of all, you need to do some real thinking about what it is you do want to do. Try it out. Try to use some pro bono time to see what it’s like to do this other kind of practice that you’re contemplating switching to. And then do things that will get you onto the radar. I know because I’m on the hiring side now, at a nonprofit, that it’s extremely important that you see in somebody’s CV that they’re committed to the area, and they’re not just doing it to escape a job. That’s why I didn’t get a callback when I was working for the firm in Houston: I hadn’t demonstrated that I wasn’t just trying to escape the firm job.

By quitting my job, I demonstrated that I wasn’t just doing something like that. I was sacrificing something to do something I was really interested in. That gave me a lot of credibility.

That’s my number one piece of advice—do as much of it as you can during law school. If you didn’t do it during law school and you’re thinking about switching jobs, do it now. But you may have to do it for free at first.

FOR THE RECORD

Lila Shapiro-Cyr, Esq. ’95

By Troy A. Edwards, Jr. ’12

Lila Shapiro-Cyr ’95 currently practices real estate law at the Baltimore office of Ballard Spahr LLP where she was recently made partner. Prior to her current position, Lila clerked for the Honorable Kathleen O’Ferrall Friedman, Circuit Court of Baltimore County. Lila graduated from Haverford College in 1995 with a B.A. in Religion. Afterward, she obtained her J.D. from the University of Maryland School of Law in 1999 with honors as a member of the Order of the Coif.

What is your daily routine as a Partner at Ballard Spahr LLP?
Well, I am a working mother with a working husband, so you can imagine the chaos in the morning with children! I typically get up around 5:30 or 6:00 in the morning to go on a run, then there is often school drop-off for the children. After that, no day is really the same. It’s usually a combination of internal meetings (i.e., marketing meetings, meetings with partners, and meetings with associates), conference calls (usually three to four a day), sometimes lunch with a client, responding to and sending emails, drafting documents (often left for after the kids go to bed) and taking phone calls from clients and other counsel. Most days are very busy, and a lot of juggling has to be done!

Could you comment on the career path that led to your current position?
I had a somewhat unusual career path after Haverford and law school. In fact, I originally wanted to be a sports journalist, and was the sports information director for a year after Haverford. That was sort of my last “hurrah” before diving into the professional world. Afterward, I followed my love for the law that I acquired from my grandmother—who was the only other lawyer in the family and was one of four women in her law class—and chose to go to law school. After law school, I always thought I would be a public interest attorney and possibly work for the public defender’s office or a domestic violence nonprofit (I was a rape-counselor during my time at Haverford). My parents lived in Maryland, so I chose to attend the University of Maryland Law School and avoided large amounts of debt. There, I met my husband, who wanted to enter corporate law. Upon graduation, I clerked for the circuit court and then went to work for Ballard Spahr LLP. The “unforked” path is unusual for someone my age, but I started here as an associate after seeing an advertisement for a real estate position and have been here ever since, becoming partner a few years ago.

The firm’s website notes that you are heavily involved in pro bono work. How has that experience been?
Yes, I have received the unique opportunity to work on a lot of pro bono matters throughout my time here. It has actually been the great blend between the private sector, work for the public sector and pro bono work that has helped quench my thirst to do good as an attorney.
Did Haverford have anything to with your desire to work pro bono?
It seems to be a chicken or the egg thing, right? Is it the kind of people that Haverford attracts and recruits, the work Haverford does throughout your four years there or a combination of the two? To me, it is a blend of the two that pushes Haverford grads to make some kind of impact on the world (or community) in any way they can. I think any inking of passion for community service I had going into Haverford had fully developed and blossomed by the time I reached graduation. I am still in touch with a number of graduates from when I was there, and I constantly see them doing good things in their own unique ways. It does not always manifest itself in the same way, but they are definitely doing good for those around them.

How did Haverford prepare you for law school or the legal profession?
The art of writing is so deeply ingrained in your Haverford career, from day one to the day you hand in your thesis during senior year. Likewise, law school is very writing-focused. While it is a different kind of writing, writing is a part of every kind of law on which one might focus. Moreover, Haverford is a place that focuses substantially on critical thinking. That skill comes in very handy in law school! Finally, Haverford definitely prepared me for the workload of law school. In fact, Haverford may have been a little more difficult than law school! While that may have something to do with maturity, you definitely juggle a lot at Haverford, and that aspect prepared me well for law school.

What are your thoughts on the legal market? Is it still weakening or getting stronger?
Generally speaking, the legal market seems to be improving. Though in many ways it had to, given its almost “rock-bottom” status over the last few years. The real estate world more generally seems to be improving as well. Hiring-wise, corporate law seems to be getting better as well, and in my view, litigation has seemed somewhat stable. That all said, based on articles I’ve read recently and personal observations, hiring is still very low. There was a time when big law firms here in Baltimore were taking something like 25 summer associates—now those numbers are much lower. Of course, private law firms are not the only places available to students after law school. The government, non-profit, and public interest fields all definitely need good attorneys, but the money just is not what it is like in the private sector. What is needed is a way to make these areas more appealing to recent graduates.

Do you have any advice for upcoming graduates?
Clerk for a judge, if you can! You will receive very valuable legal experience, buy yourself more time to pay off your loans, make connections, etc. The things you learn from clerking are absolutely invaluable (i.e. writing every day, observing negotiations, seeing the law in action, and much more). Law firms are very attracted to applicants who have clerked for a judge. Also, take as many practical courses during law school as you can. People on the hiring side like to see that, and I think it serves young lawyers very well.

LAW BLOTTER
Edward C. Abderholden ’74 has started a solo general practice firm in Chicago. Edward is a 1977 graduate from Washington University (St. Louis) School of Law and has practiced on both the plaintiff and defense side of litigation.

Valerie Borek ’02 is a solo practitioner in Pennsylvania in general practice, bankruptcy and contract research and writing. Valerie is an adjunct professor at Pennsylvania Institute of Technology and will soon join the board of the Pennsylvania Midwives Alliance.

Charlie Carluccio ’11, d3baseball.com first-team All-America baseball player at Haverford, finished his first year at University of Miami Law School.

Jon Delano ’71 is the money and politics editor for KDKA-TV in Pittsburgh, a columnist for Pittsburgh Business Times, a contributor to Pittsburgh Magazine and an adjunct professor of Public Policy & Politics at Carnegie Mellon University’s Heinz College. In 2011, Jon became the first Pennsylvania television reporter to interview President Obama in the White House. He also recently won the Edward R. Murrow Award for best “hard news” story in Pennsylvania, New York and New Jersey.

Tamar Donikyan ’00 is living in Westchester, New York and practicing transactional securities and corporate law at Ellenoff Grossman & Schole LLP in Manhattan. Tamar graduated from the Benjamin N. Cardozo School of Law in 2005.
Troy “L.T.” Edwards ’12 graduates from Haverford this spring and will join the incoming law school class at the University of Chicago.

Ron Eisenberg ’78, deputy district attorney for law of the Philadelphia DA’s office, achieved a unanimous victory before the U.S. Supreme Court in Greene v. Fisher. This is Ron’s fourth U.S. Supreme Court argument, of which he has won three and lost one (by a 5-4 vote).

Jon R. Fetterolf ’93, partner at Williams & Connolly in Washington D.C. and member of the firm’s sports law department, spoke at Haverford in November 2011 at the “Careers in Sports” Symposium. Jon, who is an agent for over 15 professional baseball players, joked to the Chase Auditorium crowd, “Most of my professors would be rolling over in their graves if they knew I was here speaking to you!”

Vernon Francis ’82, partner at Dechert LLP in Philadelphia, was quoted in articles announcing the death of the Honorable Louis H. Pollak, U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, for whom Vernon clerked after graduating from the University of Pennsylvania Law School.

Neil Gibson ’04 graduates from William & Mary Law School this May.

Debra Gilbert ’12 will join Rigrodsky & Long PA in Wilmington, Delaware as a paralegal upon her graduation from Haverford this spring.

Peter Goldberger ’71 successfully represented his client in an appellate victory before the Third Circuit Court of Appeals. An article on Peter’s victory was featured on the cover of The Legal Intelligencer—the daily law journal for Philadelphia, Pennsylvania and surrounding areas—on February 28, 2012.

Brandy Gonzales ’08 has just completed her first year at Boston University School of Law.

Benjamin Gordon ’04 has joined the Philadelphia office of Stradley Ronon Stevens & Young LLP as an associate.

Elliot Gordon ’78 will become the President of the Haverford College Alumni Association Executive Committee (AAEC) in June. After serving as vice-president, Elliot will represent all alumni and aid the college’s transition with incoming President Daniel H. Weiss. [Editors’ note: After meeting Elliot personally, the HCLN can confirm that the Alumni Association is in fantastic hands.]

Robert Hall ’93 is associate director of federal affairs for the American Academy of Pediatrics. He previously worked as attorney advisor to the Securities and Exchange Commission and in the health law group at Winston & Strawn LLP. Robert also worked as Legislative Counsel to U.S. Senator Mark Dayton.

Bryan Hathorn ’91 is currently clerking on the Supreme Court of Tennessee in Memphis. After receiving a Ph.D. from Cal Tech in 1999 in chemical physics and working as a research assistant, he decided to take the plunge and enter law school. Bryan graduated from University of Tennessee Law School in 2010.

Ashby Jones ’91, keynote speaker at HCLN’s second-annual event in New York City and legal reporter for The Wall Street Journal, was interviewed for Wall Street Journal Live, an online news show, and discussed takeaways from the U.S. Supreme Court’s arguments on federal healthcare legislation.

Andrew Katz ’07 graduates from Temple University Beasley School of Law this May.

Tamara Lave ’90 is associate professor of law at the University of Miami, where she teaches criminal law, criminal procedure and criminal procedure adjudication. Tamara was previously a deputy public defender in San Diego for 10 years, before getting her Ph.D. in jurisprudence and social policy at the University of California, Berkeley.

Jeffrey Lichtstein ’08 graduates from the Benjamin N. Cardozo School of Law this spring and will be clerking this fall for Judge Paul Depascale of the New Jersey Superior Court—Criminal Division.

Bob Loesche ’73 has been promoted to general counsel of the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts. He is in charge of an eleven-lawyer office that serves as in-house counsel to all the federal courts around the country. Previously, Bob had been the deputy general counsel for 27 years.

Ken Ludwig ’72, famed playwright and Tony Award nominee, was honored at York (PA) Little Theater in
Ian Michel '04 is finishing his second year of law school at University of Pittsburgh School of Law.

William Davis Morris III '80 (formerly David M. White) retired in 2009 at the age of 50, after 20 years as a trial attorney in the Washington, D.C. region. He specialized in tax and immigration law and had an impressive 98 percent win rate. William is currently divorced and has one son, William David Morris IV.

Rahul Munshi '06 coached Temple University Beasley School of Law’s National Trial Team to victory at the Capitol City Challenge Tournament, hosted by American University’s Washington College of Law.

Mai-Khoi Nguyen-Thanh '08 graduated from Washington University (St. Louis) School of Law in 2011 and is currently working in-house at Emerson Electric Co. in St. Louis. Mai-Khoi practices international trade compliance and antitrust law.

Tony Petitti '83, CEO of MLB Network, was interviewed in the April edition of GQ magazine about the upcoming baseball season and MLB Network’s show MLB Tonight.

Laura Ratcliffe ’06, a 2011 graduate from University of Southern California Law School, is a fellow at the William J. Clinton Foundation in the Climate Change Initiative (CCI) in New York City.

Daniel Render ’06, who graduates this spring from the University of Chicago Law School, has held the position of student leader for a class called “The Corporate Law” and is currently serving as a corporate lab fellow. Daniel also worked on a paper on compliance with the Foreign Corrupt Practice Act, which was recently published by the ABA’s Global Anti-Corruption Group.

Keino Robinson ’91 is currently senior attorney in the Forest Preserve District of Cook County (Illinois), practicing in Labor & Employment law, litigation and real estate law. Keino graduated from Villanova Law School in 1999 and then clerked for Justice Ronald D. Castille of the Pennsylvania Supreme Court.

Amelia Sanchez-Moran ’06, a 2011 graduate of Boston College Law School, has joined the employment and labor practice group of Lewis, Brisbois, Bisgaard & Smith LLP in Los Angeles.


Alexandra Shookhoff '06, a 2011 graduate of the University of Michigan Law School, has received her Masters in Public Policy from the University of Michigan's School of Public Policy. Alex joins Hughes Hubbard & Reed LLP in New York City this fall.

John Soroko ’73, Chairman and CEO of Duane Morris, reviewed Judge Harvey Bartle III’s recent book, Mortals with Tremendous Responsibilities, for The Legal Intelligencer, the daily law journal for Philadelphia and surrounding areas.

Stephen Spaulding ’05 was featured on the front page of The New York Times in connection with his work with Common Cause, a watchdog group based in Washington, D.C. The article featured Steve’s research into the activities of the American Legislative Exchange Council (ALEC). You can access the article on the Times website.

Shanin Specter ’83, founder of Kline & Specter PC in Philadelphia, graced the cover of Best Lawyers in Philadelphia. 2012 marked the opening of two major facilities made possible thanks to donations by Shanin’s law firm. The Kline & Specter Squash Center at Drexel University features seven courts and seating, and the University of Pennsylvania Law School’s Kline & Specter Courtroom will be used for classes on trial and appellate advocacy, for mock trials and arguments and potentially to hear Pennsylvania Superior Court arguments.

Ryan Stephens ’07 graduates from William & Mary Law School this May. Not only did Neil Gibson ’04 (see p. 6) and Ryan Stephens ’07 matriculate together through their Socratic journey, but they also shared time on Kannerstein Field for the Haverford nine (2012
Centennial Conference Champions) during their year together on the Main Line.

Richard G. Vernon ’65 was included in the latest edition of Maryland Super Lawyers in the area of employment and labor. In addition, the U.S. News and World Report ranked the employment and labor practice group that Rick chairs at Lerch, Early & Brewer in Bethesda, Maryland as tier 2 in the Washington, D.C. area for employment law: management and labor law: management.


Marc Zilversmit ’83 is practicing criminal defense, appeals and trials in San Francisco. He recently argued before the California Supreme Court in People v. Jacob Townley Hernandez.

** If you have any news or would like to be included in the next edition of Law Blotter, please email Rahul at munshi@consolelaw.com **

This information will be shared with Haverford’s Office of College Communications for inclusion in Class Notes of upcoming issues of the Haverford alumni magazine. When sharing your news, please specify any preferences regarding circulation.

ABOUT HCLN

Michael Gordon ’04, a graduate of the George Washington University Law School, practiced corporate and commercial litigation for a large firm for two years and now works at William Morris Endeavor Entertainment, the Hollywood talent agency. email: michael.evan.gordon@gmail.com

Rahul Munshi ’06 is an associate in the Philadelphia office of Console Law Offices where he specializes in employment and civil rights litigation.

Doug Stuart is an associate director of alumni relations & annual giving at Haverford College. email: dstuart@haverford.edu

Interested in getting more involved with Haverford? You can find events in your area, search for other alumni (by legal industries: ‘LA’ in “Industry”), or make a gift to the Annual Fund on the online alumni community fords.haverford.edu. All of the HCLN resources are available through the Haverford website at hav.to/hcln.

Disclaimer:
The Haverford College Alumni Lawyers Network Newsletter is shared with alumni based on the employment information on file with the College. If you believe that your information is inaccurate, please update your contact information online.